CALIFORNIA COASTAL COMMISSION

SOUTH CENTRAL COAST AREA 89 SOUTH CALIFORNIA ST., SUITE 200 VENTURA, CA 93001 (805) 585-1800

Th30d



DATE: September 30, 2008

TO: Commissioners and Interested Persons

FROM: John Ainsworth, Deputy Director

SUBJECT: County of Santa Barbara LCP Amendment No. STB-MAJ-4-07 Time

Extension to be heard at the October 16, 2008 Commission Hearing in

Ventura.

On December 21, 2007, the County of Santa Barbara submitted an amendment to the Coastal Zoning Ordinance / Implementation Plan portion of its certified Local Coastal Program (LCP). The amendment proposes to amend its LCP to: replace the existing Coastal Zoning Ordinance with the new Santa Barbara County Land Use and Development Code; establish a separate Land Use and Development Code for Montecito; and rezone two parcels located in Montecito from Retail Commercial to Neighborhood Commercial.

On September 8, 2008, the Executive Director determined that the County's amendment submittal was in proper order and legally adequate to comply with the submittal requirements of Coastal Act Section 30510(b). Pursuant to Section 30512 of the Coastal Act, an amendment to the certified LCP must be scheduled for a public hearing and the Commission must take action within 60 days of a complete submittal. The 60th day after filing the complete submittal is November 7, 2008.

Coastal Act Section 30517 and California Code of Regulations Section 13535(c) state that the Commission may extend for good cause the 60-day time limit for a period not to exceed one year. Commission staff is requesting an extension to the 60-day time limit. Therefore, staff recommends that the Commission extend the 60-day time limit to act on the County of Santa Barbara LCP Amendment No. MAJ-4-07 for one year.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Commission vote to extend the deadline for Commission action for one year.

MOTION: I move that the Commission extend the 60-day time limit to act on the

County of Santa Barbara LCP Amendment No. STB-MAJ-4-07 for one

year.

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends a **YES** vote. An affirmative vote of a majority of the Commission present is needed to pass the motion.